National Assessment and Accreditation Council (NAAC) **Student Satisfaction Survey - Interns** Key Indicator - 2.7.1 Under Criterion II of Teaching – Learning and Evaluation (For Health Science Colleges)

Date: 04/01/2019

1. How much of the curricula and syllabi are covered in the Discipline in which you are studying?

- 4 90 to 100%: 18.51%
- 3 75 to 89%: 81.48% 0%
- 2-55 to 74%:
- 1-30 to 54%: 0% 0 – Below 30% : 0%

2. What categories of teachers are involved in the theory and practical classes in your discipline?

4 - Professors, Associated Professors, Assistant Professors and Others (Lecturers, Tutors, Demonstrators and Residents) : 100%

3 – Associated Professors, Assistant Professors and others : 0%

2 – Assistant Professors and others :	0%
1 – Others :	0%
0 – None of the above:	0%

3. What percentage of teachers is effectively communicating domain knowledge, IT skills and Soft Skills in the Teaching Learning Process?

4 - 90 to $100%$:	37.03%
3 – 75 to 89% :	62.96%
2 - 55 to 74% :	0 %
1-30 to 54% :	0%
0 –Below 30%:	0%

4. What percentage of the teachers build-up in you the expected curricular competencies, programme outcomes and course outcomes?

4 – 90 to 100% :	40.74%
3 – 75 to 89% :	55.55%
2 – 55 to 74% :	3.7%
1–30 to 54% :	0%
0 –Below 30%:	0%

5. Does your mentor do necessary follow up of the tasks assigned to you?

- 4 Every time : 40.74%
- 3 Usually : 51.85%
- 2 Occasionally: 7.40% 0%
- 1 Rarely:
- 0 I don't have a mentor : 0%

6. To what extent the mentoring process in your institution is beneficial to you for academic, social and personality growth?

4 – Highly Beneficial :	29.62%
3 – Beneficial :	55.55%
2 – Satisfactory:	14.81%
1 – Marginally:	0%
0– Not at all:	0%

7. To what extent clinical and practical facilities related to your discipline have been made available to you?

4 – 90 to 100% :	62.96%
3 – 75 to 89% :	33.33%
2-55 to 74% :	3.7%
1-30 to 54% :	0%
0 –Below 30%:	0%

8. What extent of training you are provided in the skill and simulation labs, clinical ward rounds / rotations and lab exercises related to your discipline?

4 - 90 to $100%$:	77.77%
3 – 75 to 89% :	22.22%
2 - 55 to 74% :	0%
1–30 to 54% :	0%
0 –Below 30%:	0%

9. What percentage of teachers use ICT-enabled methods like multimedia, web-based learning and national e-resources while teaching?

4 – 90 to 100%:	37.03%
3 – 75 to 89% :	44.44%
2 - 55 to 74% :	18.51%
1–30 to 54% :	0%
0 –Below 30%:	0%

10. What is the extent of opportunities given to you for outdoor learning like internship, student exchanges, field visits and projects?

0%

- 4 All of the above :
- 3 -Three of the above : 100%
- $2 \text{Two of the above :} \qquad 0\%$
- 1 -One of the above : 0%
- 0- None of the above: 0%

11. Does the institution provide the opportunities for experiential learning, like problem-based learning, case-based learning, evidence-based learning, competency building, seminars, group discussions, to enhance knowledge and skills in your discipline?

- 4 All of the above : 96.29%
- 3 Any four of the above : 3.70%
- 2 Any three of the above: 0%
- 1 -Any two of the above: 0%
- 0- Any one of the above: 0%

12. What is the level of opportunities provided by your institution to develop employability and entrepreneurship skills to prepare you for your career?

3.70%
85.18%
11.11%
0%
0%

13. What is the level of value-added courses / training given by your institution in the area of professional ethics and values, community service, preventive health care and rural health care?

4 – High Level :	29.62%
3 – Appreciable Level :	62.96%
2 – Satisfactory Level :	7.40%
1 – Marginal Level :	0%
0– No opportunity given :	0%

14. What level of monitoring and evaluation is available in your institution for assessing the effectiveness and interactiveness of the classroom/learning sessions?

4 - High Level :22.22%3 - Appreciable Level :66.66%2 - Satisfactory Level :11.11%1 - Marginal Level :0%0- No opportunity given :0%

15. To what extent the institutional ambience and class room teaching has improved your creative thinking and analytical skills?

- 4 High Level :
 7.40%

 3 Appreciable Level :
 70.37%

 2 Satisfactory Level :
 22.22%

 1 Marginal Level :
 0%
- 0- No opportunity given : 0%

16. How frequently your performance in academic assignments are discussed and reviewed with you by the teachers?

4 – Regularly :	37.03%
3 – Often :	44.44%
2 – Sometimes :	18.51%
1 – Rarely:	0%
0–Never:	0%

17. What is your assessment about the fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers?

4 – Always fair :	40.74%
3 – More often fair :	40.74%
2 – Sometimes fair :	18.51%
1 – Usually unfair :	0%
0 – Always unfair :	0%

18. How do you rate the procedures involved in preparation of the examination halls, invigilation, evaluation of answer papers and arrangements for addressing examination related grievances?

- 4 Excellent : 37.05%
- 3 Very Good : 55.55%
- 2 Good : 7.40%
- 1 Average: 0%
- 0 Poor: 0%

19. Do your teachers enable you to identify your strengths and weaknesses and help you to develop your strengths and overcome your weaknesses by providing timely counseling and care?

 4 - Every time :
 11.11%

 3 - Usually :
 55.55%

 2 - Occasionally:
 22.22%

 1 - Rarely:
 11.11%

 0 - Never :
 0%

20. If you were to award rating of your institution in terms of "stars" in relation to quality of teaching learning and evaluation, what would be your rating?

4 - ***** :	37.05%
3 - **** :	59.25%
2-***:	3.70%
1 – ** :	0%
0 – * :	0%

21. Give three suggestions to improve the overall teaching, learning and evaluation process in your institution.

A}

- Make teaching more interesting,
- Only one teacher should take theory and practical for same topic because it is difficult for student to learn practical when theory session is taken by other teacher,
- Lectures can be made more interactive after a brief introduction on any new topic and by regular clinical meet,
- Mentors should take regular follow up regarding the given tasks assigned to the students to get better outcome,
- Better infrastructures to provide more opportunities to learn,
- Teaching can be improved by using different media and innovative ways of teaching can be implemented,
- Teachers should use more of ICT frequently while teaching for individual subject,
- There should be same syllabus in each colleges of university,
- The teaching process should include power point or chalk & board rather than giving seminars because seminar presenter only have knowledge about topic other students don't understand the topic with only seminar presentation by their classmates.

- **B**}
- Better communication between student and teacher,
- Update the reference books used for teaching,
- Teacher should give proper solution to build up the strength or to improve marks during examination,
- Student's weakness and strengths should be taken care more often and regular counseling should be done intermittently,
- As numbers of students are less in the institute, personal attention and care should be given to each student equally and specially regarding their weak subjects.

C}

- Use of bar codes or covering stickers must be used instead of roll numbers in internal examination,
- Practical evaluation should be more transparent,
- Should frequently evaluate academic performances at personal level,
- The students should allow for re-assessment in university exam, not just re-check them,
- Evaluation process should be done as scheduled,
- An information about university practical exam marks in detail instead of total marks.